Graduating Plastic Surgery Residents and their Attending Mentors: Do We See Eye to Eye?

Peter F. Koltz MD, Jordan D. Frey MD, Hani Sbitany MD, Derek E. Bell MD, David H. Song MD, MBA, Howard N. Langstein MD

Purpose:

Neither the factors influencing senior residents as they search for employment nor the role of attendings as mentors in this process have been elicited. We aim to directly elucidate these measures through a survey of attending surgeons and senior residents.

Methods:

Members of the American Society of Plastic Surgeons, senior residents, and recent graduates were identified and sent a survey on the topic of employment experience in plastic surgery. Responses were analyzed with p-values of less than 0.05 deemed significant.

Results:

Of 616 respondents, 43 (7.0%) were senior residents and 573 (93.0%) were attending surgeons. When compared, residents' desired practice profile was significantly different (p <0.0001) compared to attendings.

Senior residents and attendings ranked the factors that they will, or should, consider when graduating residency. Residents ranked location (p=0.0030), exact case mix desired (p=0.0131), and desire or lack of desire to teach residents (p=0.0329) as more important than attendings felt they should be. They also ranked time frame of guaranteed salary (p=0.0178) and incentive structure (p=0.0069) as less important than attendings felt they should be.

When compared with senior attendings, attendings with less than 10 years of experience ranked location (p=0.0215) and desire or lack of desire to teach residents (p=0.0497) as significantly more important. They ranked time frame of guaranteed salary (p=0.0376) and benefits (p=0.0008) as significantly less important.

Senior residents and attendings then ranked the factors that they would, or did, consider when choosing to maintain or change a current employment. Compared to junior attendings, residents ranked location (p=0.0354) as more important. Compared to senior attendings, residents ranked location (p=0.0004) as more important and earning potential (p=0.0037), benefits (p=0.0041), and incentive structure (p=0.0265) as less important. Compared to senior attendings, junior attendings ranked location (p=0.0130) and desire to teach (p=0304) as more important. All other rankings among groups did not differ significantly.

Conclusions:

Residents and their attending mentors differ significantly in perceived importance of employment factors. Residents value location and desire to teach uniformly as more important than attendings, who more valued benefits and incentives. Residents' values corresponded more to the values of junior rather than senior attendings. To find a professionally and personally satisfying employment after graduation, senior residents should carefully seek mentorship with compatible advisors as many attendings may hold discordant values and opinions.

References:

- 1. Davison SP, Clemens MW. Career evaluation and the decision process for plastic surgery graduates. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2011 Aug;128(2):559-65.
- 2. Noland SS, Lee GK. Plastic surgery residency graduate outcomes: a 43-year experience at a single institution and the first "integrated" training program in the United States. Ann Plast Surg. 2012 Apr;68(4):404-9.
- 3. Goldwyn RM. "If you were beginning your career...". Plast Reconstr Surg. 2004 Aug;114(2):587-9.
- 4 . Tzarnas CD. Advice to a graduating surgical resident; some new "A"s. J Surg Educ. 2012 Sep-Oct;69(5):676-8.
- 5. Imahara SD, Scott JR, Neligan PC. Career plans of graduating plastic surgery trainees in 2009: the impact of an uncertain economic climate. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2009 Dec;124(6):2173-8.
- 6. Herrera FA, Chang EI, Suliman A, Tseng CY, Bradley JP. Recent trends in resident career choices after plastic surgery training. Ann Plast Surg. 2013 Jun;70(6):694-7.
- 7. Kasten SJ, Levi B, Eng D, Schenarts KA. Toward outcomes-based plastic surgery training: a needs assessment of recent graduates. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2009 Nov;124(5):1703-10.
- 8. Larson DL. Bridging the generation X gap in plastic surgery training: part 1. Identifying the problem. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2003 Nov;112(6):1656-61.

Figures:

Figure 1: Senior residents and attendings rank the factors that they will or should consider when graduating residency and seeking employment

	Residents	Attendings	p-Value	
Guaranteed Salary	4.000	3.834	0.7317	
Time Frame of	6.486	5.324	0.0178	
Guaranteed Salary				
Opportunity for	5.081	4.402	0.0802	
Practice Growth				
Exact Case Mix	5.135	6.208	0.0131	
Desired				
Exact Payor Mix	8.297	8.396	0.8018	
Desired				
Practice	4.378	4.659	0.5716	
Personalities				
Lifestyle	5.514	5.579	0.8923	
Earning Potential	6.432	6.017	0.4066	
Benefits	9.432	8.644	0.0510	
Incentive Structure	9.838	8.549	0.0069	
Location	3.622	5.64	0.0030	
Desire/Lack of	9.784	10.747	0.0329	
desire to teach				

residents		

Figure 2: Factors influencing the choice to maintain or change employment stratified by resident and attending experience

	Residents	p-Value (R vs J)	Junior Attendings (<10 years)	p-Value (R vs S)	Senior Attendings (>10 years)	p-Value (J vs S)
Practice personality	2.38	0.4428	2.647	0.7492	2.482	0.3548
Base salary	3.97	0.9387	4.00	0.4932	3.748	0.1806
Lifestyle	3.69	0.8099	3.773	0.7478	3.789	0.9281
Earning potential	4.49	0.0781	3.907	0.0037	3.663	0.1517
Benefits	6.13	0.1158	5.74	0.0041	5.499	0.0618
Incentive structure	6.33	0.1053	5.86	0.0265	5.710	0.3543
Location	2.72	0.0354	3.627	0.0004	4.236	0.0130
Desire to teach	6.28	0.6693	6.447	0.0760	6.874	0.0304

Financial Disclosures:

The authors have no financial disclosures related to this research.