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Abstract

Introduction:
The short and long-term outcome of pressure ulcer (PU) treatment with tissue flaps in spinal cord injury (SCI) patients has been very variable in the literature. Overall complication rates are reported from 4-50%, and PU recurrence rates are reported from 3-82%.1-3 We describe a scoring system that stratifies SCI patients pre-operatively based on Medical, Nutritional, Psychological, Physical, Local, Surgical, Social, and Surface variables (The MNPPLSSS: ‘Minneapolis Score’) with the hypothesis that this stratification would assist in determining candidacy for surgical treatment to improve outcome.

Methods and Materials:
The ‘Minneapolis Score’ system was implemented in January 2012, and was used as a guide to determine surgical candidacy (ideally Score < 15 and no ‘auto-fail’ factors were deemed ideal surgical candidates). Patients who had their flaps performed between 2008-11 (Group A) had their Minneapolis Score calculated retrospectively, while the score was calculated to determine flap candidacy for patients from 2012-13 (Group B). A retrospective study of SCI patients who underwent PU flap surgery from January 2008 - December 2013 was subsequently performed. The ‘Minneapolis Score’, and outcome data were collected and analyzed. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results:
A total of 54 flaps were performed on 42 patients (all males, Mean age: 60 years). The mean follow up period was 22.7 months (Range: 3.5 – 59.8 months). There were 37 (68.5%) flaps performed in Group A; and 17 (31.5%) flaps performed in Group B. The mean ‘Minneapolis Score’ for Group A was 15 (Range: 5-29), and for Group B was 11 (Range: 8-19) (p=0.036). Additionally, in Group A, 16 (43.2%) cases scored ≥15, while in Group B only 4 (23.5%) cases scored ≥15 (p=0.09). In Group A, there were 54.1% (n=20) minor wound complications and 13.5% (n=5) major complications; whereas, in Group B, there were 47.1% (n=8) minor wound complications (p=0.21), and 5.8% (n=1) major complications (p=0.29). A higher ‘Minneapolis Score’ was associated with a higher risk of long-term flap failure and pressure ulcer recurrence (OR: 1.15, p=0.04). The mean score for the 6 major flap failures was 18.2 (Range 9-29). When we analyzed the data using a score of 10 as our cutoff point, the association of Minneapolis Score with minor complications was stronger (p=0.07), and 66.68% (n=4) flap failures fell over this cutoff point.

Conclusions:
The ‘Minneapolis Score’ may help determine which SCI patients are potentially better candidates for flap surgery and may have an impact on decreasing flap failure and recurrent pressure ulcer rates.
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